

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REPORT	2004
WIPO	PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference SPE 02/06		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
emetional application	nn No.	International filing date (day)	month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
nternational application No. International filing date (date) PCT/EP 03/07943 18.07.2003				23.07.2002
		oth national classification and	IPC	
65D30 <i>l</i> 00	igosinoduon (n o) -			
00000.00				
pplicant	N EFINS FUROPE	E-BELGIUM (S.A.)		
OLVAY POLYC				
			aranarad by this	International Preliminary Examining
. This internat	ional preliminary exe	amination report has been pe e applicant according to Ar	ticle 36.	International Preliminary Examining
Authority and	is transmitted to the	o application of		
		of 6 sheets, including this		
	and in also accomp	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. st	neets of the desc	ription, claims and/or drawings which have ing rectifications made before this Authority
☐ This re	eport is also accomp amended and are th	e basis for this report and/o	r sheets contain	ing rectifications made before this Authority der the PCT).
(see F	lule 70.16 and Secti	e basis for this report and/o on 607 of the Administrative	e msiluctions un	
		Lef abouto		
These anne	xes consist of a total	li of Sheets.		
These anne	xes consist of a tota	li or sneets.		
These anne	xes consist of a tota	II OF STREETS.		
,			mc:	
,		relating to the following ite	ms:	
3. This report	contains indications	relating to the following ite	ms:	
3. This report	contains indications Basis of the opinion	relating to the following ite		
3. This report	contains indications Basis of the opinion	relating to the following ite		step and industrial applicability
3. This report	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment	relating to the following ite of opinion with regard to no	ovelty, inventive	
3. This report ⊠ □ □	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve	of opinion with regard to no	ovelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
3. This report I II III IV V M	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve	of opinion with regard to no ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with	ovelty, inventive	
3. This report I II III IV V VI	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t	of opinion with regard to no ention int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such states is cited the international application	ovelty, inventive the regard to noventeement	
3. This report I II III IV V M	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t	of opinion with regard to no ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with	ovelty, inventive the regard to noventeement	
3. This report I	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t	of opinion with regard to no ention int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such states is cited the international application	ovelty, inventive the regard to noventeement	
3. This report I	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t	of opinion with regard to no ention int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such states is cited the international application	ovelty, inventive the regard to nove atement	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
3. This report I II III IV V VI VIII VIII VIII VIII III III	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in to	of opinion with regard to no ention int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such states is cited the international application	ovelty, inventive the regard to nove atement	
3. This report I	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in to	of opinion with regard to no ention int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such states is cited the international application	th regard to nove	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
3. This report I II III IV VI VII VIII Date of submission	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in to	of opinion with regard to no ention int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such states is cited the international application	ovelty, inventive the regard to nove atement	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
3. This report	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in to Certain observation	of opinion with regard to no ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit nations supporting such sta cited the international application ns on the international appl	th regard to nove	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
3. This report I	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in to Certain observation on of the demand	of opinion with regard to no ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit nations supporting such sta cited the international application ns on the international appl	th regard to nove	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
3. This report I	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t Certain observatio on of the demand	of opinion with regard to not ention in under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such state cited the international application in on the international application in the international applicational in P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	th regard to nove atement Date of completed 28.10.2004 Authorized Office	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
3. This report I	contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t Certain observatio on of the demand	of opinion with regard to not ention not under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such state cited the international application application on the international applications. P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 avs Bas	byelty, inventive the regard to nove atement lication Date of completed 28.10.2004 Authorized Office Droghetti, A	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/07943

I. Basis of th	e report
----------------	----------

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc	ription, Pages					
	1-5		as originally filed				
	Ol-:-	Numbers					
	Ciair	ms, Numbers	• • 10 - 20 A				
	1-7		as originally filed				
2.	With lang	regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		nese elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a tran	slation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
	П	the language of public	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a trar Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under				
3.	With inte		otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the xamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.				
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
			tly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that the in the international ar	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure opplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence				
4	. The	e amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
٤	s. 🗆	been considered to	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement si report.)	heet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
(3. Ac	ditional observations,	if necessary:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/07943

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims Claims No:

1-7

1-7

1-7

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item V

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US A 4680330 D2: US A 3454455 D3: EP A 0109512 D4: US A 4911985 D5: EP A 0339990 D6: US A 5849394

1. Novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT)

The subject-matter of claims 1-7 is new in view of the prior art documents D1-D6. The reasons are as follows.

The term "net" according to the description refers to any sheet, woven or non-woven, having regular apertures or perforations (in the present application, page. 1, l. 5-6).

1.1. D1 discloses a composition for cling films with improved adhesion properties comprising low density polyethylene (e.g. LLDPE) and polyisobutene (having viscosity and Mn as claimed in the present application) as cling or tackyfying agent (search report).

No sheets having apertures and perforations and no HDPE are mentioned in D1.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1-7 is novel in view of D1.

1.2. D2 discloses reticular structures from perforated sheets having apertures, and prepared from a composition comprising HDPE and polyisobutene (search report).

The amounts of the two components are not specified and no Mn and viscosity of PiB as claimed are disclosed in D2.

Thus the subject matter of claims 1-7 is novel in view of D2.

1.3. D3 discloses polymer film and film webs having good adhesion and cling properties and comprising a blend of LDPE and polyisobutene (with the same Mn as claimed) as tackifying agent (search report). No HDPE is mentioned in D3.

Thus the subject-matter of claims 1-7 is novel in view of D3.

1.4. In D4 a packaging film having improved tear and puncture resistance comprises a blend of HDPE and polyisobutene as claimed and a filler (search report).

No sheets having apertures and perforations as claimed are mentioned.

Thus the subject-matter of claims 1-7 is novel in view of D4.

1.5. D5 and D6 discloses compositions for packaging films and materials presenting good adhesion properties or heat sealability and comprising HDPE and polyisobutene as claimed. No sheets having apertures and perforations as claimed are mentioned in D5 and D6.

International application No. PCT/EP 03/07943 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Thus the subject-matter of claims 1-7 is novel in view of D5 and D6.

2. Inventive Step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

The subject-matter of claims 1-7 does not involve an inventive step for the following reasons.

2.1. D2, which is considered the closest prior art, discloses reticular structures from sheets having apertures and perforations (cl. 5, as described in the the present application, page. 1, l. 5-6) and comprising a blend of high density polyethylene and polyisobutene (search report). The polyisobutene is used as plasticizer.

The present application differs from D2 in that the amounts of both components are defined and in that the polyisobutene is used as tackifying agent and is present with Mn and viscosity in defined ranges as claimed (claims 1-4,7).

In the present application the examples according to claim 1 and 2 (ex.1-3, page 4-5) illustrate that an increasing amount of PiB falling in the claimed range increases the peel and lap cling values of HDPE compositions and as a consequence the tackiness of the film (page 5, lines 6-8).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the preparation of a net having increased tackiness.

The solution proposed in claims 1-4,7 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

The use of PiB as claimed in cl. 1-4,7 is regarded as a normal option to include in polyethylene compositions for packaging requiring improved adhesiveness.

Independent claim 7 cannot be considered as inventive because the use of polyisobutene for improving the adhesiveness of polyethylene (in particular HDPE) packaging compositions is well known in the art (in D1,D3-D5).

In D6, a wrap packaging material comprising a blend of ethylene polymers (e.g. HDPE) and polyisobutene as claimed is disclosed. The cling and adhesion properties of the packaging material are

No net is explicitely mentioned in D1, D3-D6, but the enhanced adhrence provided by the PiB can be applied for simple packaging films as well as for packaging nets as described in the present application (that is sheets with regular perforations and apertures), without involving an inventive step (cl. 7). Thus the subject-matter of claim 7 is not inventive.

In documents D1, D3-D6 even the amount of PiB is in the same range as claimed (cl. 1,2) and furthermore it presents Mn and viscosity as claimed (cl 3-4)

In the present application, neither examples nor comparative examples illustrate the technical effects or advantages over the prior art deriving from the use of the a PiB having Mn and viscosity as claimed above. Therefore it is not clear whether these features contribute to any technical effect or whether they have been chosen arbitrarily.

2.2. Dependent claim 6 does not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the

International application No. PCT/EP 03/07943 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

features of any claim to which it refers, meet the requirements of the EPC with respect to inventive step, the reasons being as follows.

Even if neither in D2, the closest prior art, nor in documents D1, D3-D6 the cooling rate is diclosed or explicitely defined as in cl. 6, in the pre sent application there are no examples or comparative examples illustrating the technical effects deriving from the use of this technical feature.

Therefore it is not evident whether this feature contributes to any technical effect or whether it has been chosen arbitrarily.

As long as no further explanations are available about the net composition and the process for producing the same, inventive step cannot be assessed for claim 6.

- 2.3. The same consideration could be done for the use of HDPE in packaging compositions where stiffeness is required, which also comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can be readily contemplated in advance (in D4 and D5).
- 2.4. Thus the subject-matter of claims 1-7 is not inventive in view of documents D1-D6.
- 3. The subject-matter of claims 1-7 meets the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT, with regard to industrial Applicability.